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What is digital TV?

*TV domain and architectural challenge*
Digital TV?

- TV is far away from being just a large screen with a tuner
  - Though it still has the large screen and the tuner

- There are many similarities to other domains
  - Digital STB is a TV without a screen
  - PC can do TV watching and more
  - Phone get’s more connectivity features like TV

- Consequently the TV domain is continuously changing
  - And we need to add Philips specific innovations as well
  - In an ever shorter lead time
The Digital Broadcast Domain

• STB drives a huge amount of operator diversity using variations of the standards
  – To differentiate offering
  – No strive towards standardization
  – Country specific

• Also public operators are becoming increasingly diverse
  – To differentiate offering
  – Sometimes city specific

• An increasing amount of diversity in software is required to support this
  – With subtle but fundamental differences
The Connectivity Domain

• There is an increasing amount of content available in the household
  – And an increasing amount of standards to access it

• We are used to a wide set of interactive applications to access the content
  – And hence got used to it

• Most electronic devices nowadays offer ways to access the content
  – Some work better than the other
The User Experience Domain

- Aesthetics essential part in user experience
  - Graphical experience is first view
  - A wide set of standards that provide ways to enhance the aesthetics

- User experience is about managing your content independent where it is located
  - Many reference applications
  - Widely used in the internet domain

- Ongoing reference set in the market
  - From the mobile, STB, and PC domain
The Traditional TV Domain

• Top notch picture quality
  – Ongoing drive in the market to improve picture quality
  – Focus on all content areas (analog, digital)

• Ambilight as picture quality extension
  – Improve Ambilight to be a full extensions of TV image

• This is what differentiates a TV from all other devices
  – Continues to be the big screen in the center of the house hold
  – Increasingly bring you the content you want
What Will We Explain Today?

• Standards and applications are driven from other domains
  – Wide range of existing solutions in the market
  – Both commercially and open source
  – Increasing attention for connectivity and UI

• Increasing amount of attention for CE industry in community
  – Linux offered by most silicon vendors
  – DirectFB widely picked up as standard graphics approach
  – Resource limitation is no longer a constraint, but embedded in the design

• However, making a CE product out of it is something else
  – Integrating heterogeneous SW is an increasing challenge
  – Heterogeneous being SW from different solutions and domains
Traditional SW Approach: Integrate
Integration Nightmare

• Integration has it’s advantages
  – Optimized resource usage
  – Standard architecture approach (training, integration, etc)

• Integration pitfall
  – High effort due to increased complexity
  – Longer TTM as there is no small change
  – System validation requires global big bang approach
  – No independent lifecycle, no distributed integration cycle
What We Need: Agile Integration Architecture

• Fast and predictable integration of system extensions
  – Avoid an extensive (re)validation cycle
  – Enable convincing module test opportunities
  – Enable PC based testing

• Cater for sharing of critical system resources
  – Audio, Video, and Graphics
  – General purpose (Linux) infrastructure

• Manage building blocks fully independently
  – Limited building block correlation
  – Cater for extensions without the need to know all the details
  – Independent application lifecycle and execution behavior
SPACE

Architecture and concept
### Introducing SPACE

**SPlit Application arChitecturE**

- Applications are isolated in dedicated processes
- The resources in the system are explicitly and centrally managed
- The client applications are system context unaware
- The lifecycle, focus and visual layout of the client applications is centrally managed
Key Architecture Rule

pAPI only allowed IPC
- Synchronous function call allowed only towards plfAp
  - Gated via resource model
- Notifications/events are allowed between applications
  - Without any synchronization allowed

Applications orthogonality
Dynamic behavior cannot be dependent on other applications
Essential Building Blocks

• Application Manager (amApp)
  – Control center of the system
  – Manages application lifecycle, focus, and layout

• Television Application (tvApp)
  – Core TV functionality
  – Broadcast, installation, and general TV settings

• Platform Application (plfApp)
  – HW platform abstraction layer
  – Audio Video and Graphics control

• Platform API (plfApi)
  – Philips AVG control interface
  – Philips Audio-Video API to control platform by applications
Application Manager

• Application lifecycle management
  – Starting, stopping applications based on remote control keys
  – Knows the system requirements of all applications

• Focus handling
  – Determines the active window, reacts to user request
  – Manages the application requirements to the AV resources

• Layout management
  – Determines how the applications are presented
Responsibilities

- tvApp
  - App1
  - App2
- amApp

- DirectFB
  - key handling
  - focus
- draw
- start/kill
- layout
- size
- visibility

create
Managing resources

• There are implicitly managed resources by the kernel
  – TCP, flash, USB
  – Memory allocation

• There are explicitly managed resources
  – AV platform resources
  – Memory and CPU resources

• The explicitly managed resources
  – Have a statically defined execution behavior
  – Can by design limit the parallelism in the system
  – Require an explicitly resource controlled system
Dynamic Resource Management

• amApp has a fixed list of possible resource per application
  – Worst case requirements for an application (as per today)

• Application requests the resources it needs
  – Via an explicit list

• amApp assures resources if application is in focus
  – Only the resources that are allowed (fixed list of possible resources)
  – Can mean other applications loose resources (without stopping them)

• An application never releases resources
  – Always taken away by amApp
Multi Client Management

- amApp
- tvApp
- plfApp
- otherApp

ResourceOwner (tvApp)

SetFrequency (tuner)

Linux 2.x
General Connection Management Concept

• Connection Management is split up in
  – Destination setup (full screen, window)
  – Source setup (HDMI, tuner, DLNA)

• Connection Management is distributed
  – Application Manager is responsible for destination setup
    • Application Manager is source unaware
  – Client applications are responsible for source setup
    • Client applications are destination unaware

• Identical approach for all use-cases
  – Audio, Video, and Graphics sources
Audio/Video Connection management
Example

1. SetDestinationFullScreen(tvApp)
2. eventDestination(tvApp)
3. SetSource(tuner)
4. SelectTuner
5. eventOnSourceSelected()
6. Program Freq, PID, …
Graphics Connection Management

- tvApp
- App2
- App1
- amApp

DirectFB infrastructure

Source: [Image]

Destination: [Image]
Window Management

- Application manager responsible for all top-level windows
  - Z-order, focus, association, pixel format, layout

- Application are able to do their own internal window management
  - Applications use multiple windows for OSD like scenarios
  - Always slave of top-level window managed by application manager

- Application can create 1 or more sub-windows within 1 top-level window
  - A sub-window is linked to a top-level window
SPACE Boot Architecture

System init
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ready
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Key Optimizations Areas

• Use pre-linking for all SPACE applications
  – All SPACE applications are pre-linked (30% load time gain per application)

• File system optimizations
  – Optimize ECC and block loading
  – Separation of data and code partitions, reduce mount time

• Only initialize what is needed
  – Delayed mounting where possible of JFFS2, USB, Ethernet, etc
  – Use paging for all applications

• Parallelize tvApp and plfApp
  – Make sure during initialization the system runs at 100% CPU
Secure Boot

• Security/Robustness rules imply application integrity
  – Only start applications that have been verified to run on the system

• Use hash based algorithm (sha1) to verify application
  – Required for all executable code that could tamper with the system
  – Restricted to code that could access security data (key, license)

• We identified 2 options
  – Preferred solution: Accelerated RAM disk
    • Pre-load all applications, use HW to accelerate RAM disk verification
    • Verification and loading block based and fully parallel, no boot time increase
    • BUT, loading applications from RAM disk (instead of squashfs) to RAM: +2s
  – Traditional SPACE startup
    • Verification and loading block based, all done by Linux OS: +1s
Critical Success Factors For SPACE

• Avoid system requirements that require interweaved applications, like
  – Integrated zaplist for bolt-on and native zapping
  – Synchronized transition handling across applications

• Accept consistent behavior
  – We have to accept that not everything is possible in SW
  – No more exceptions to realize that one ‘important’ requirement

• Do not accept any synchronous communication between applications
  – A-synchronous events rigorously managed by architecture team

• Streamline organization towards SPACE
  – Specifically addressing reuse, responsibilities, release mechanism, diversity
The Transition Towards SPACE

• Major change in execution architecture
  – From single process (7 threads) to multi-process (unlimited threads)
  – From real time user space scheduling towards pre-emptive one

• Release an efficient multi-process resource management
  – Avoid explosion of memory requirements
  – From single client AV resources towards multi client

• Move from single application towards multiple small ones
  – Understanding where and how to split
  – From centralized control architecture towards distributed one

• Infrastructure change
  – From proprietary solution towards DirectFB
  – Enhancing DirectFB towards an embedded windowing system
Does SPACE Deliver It’s Promise?

• Shorter lead time of new features
  – Orthogonality in functionality is essential part in TTM
    • Significantly reduced validation time of extension to basic system
  – Diversity simplification
    • Much easier to create differences between products

• Reduction of complexity
  – System is composed of logical self-contained building blocks
  – Less dependencies and far better to manage architecturally and project
  – No need to understand full system details for new features

• Improved portability
  – New HW platform impact restricted to plfApp
  – Execution architecture impact better contained locally
Next Steps

*Dynamic application integration, system integrity*
SPACE Rapid Prototyping Environment

• SPACE on PC enables fast prototyping of future TV use-cases
  – Enhanced multi-window
    • Compose multiple input streams
    • Real window rendering on PC
  – Vector Graphics and 3D graphics
    • OpenVG, OpenGL, SVG and Flash, DirectFB Water
  – All via PC cards and prototype extensions

• Fast turn-around of innovations
  – Space on PC is Space on target
    • Exception is performance
    • Graphics, key handling, plfApi are all identical
    • Communication, watchdogs, events are all emulated
  – Allows to develop and mature TV applications on PC
SPACE Run-time Configuration

• SPACE is designed such that applications run orthogonally
  – Applications do not influence each others dynamic behavior

• Via the existing infrastructure an application can easily be added
  – Application Manager does not restrict where applications are located
  – Dynamic resource manager enables plfAPI to be used by any one
  – DirectFB has a multi-window and multi-control concept

• There are some challenges still
  – Applications must be restricted in what they can access (sandboxing)
  – Applications must be validated before they can run
Ongoing Investigation

• Execute applications in a controlled environment
  – To restrict impact of application on system to ensure system stability
  – To prevent access to secure information (keys, DRM)

• Containment on various aspects
  – Limit files accessible
  – Control access to certain API’s (plfApi, DirectFB, network, …)
  – Constrain CPU usage and memory bandwidth usage

• We are investigating how to support sandboxing
  – Existing solutions seem to be an overkill for TV (eg virtualization, selinux)
  – Other solutions are not addressing the full scope (eg chroot)
  – Other initiatives are unclear if they bring an integral solution (eg cgroups)
Conclusion
Conclusion

• We described the need for a next generation software integration model
  – Addressing the emerging standards and services driven from STB domain
  – Enabling the ever growing content to be managed and accessed
  – Realizing the simplicity promise and easy control of functions

• SPACE addresses the integration challenge
  – Enabling orthogonal software integration
  – Realizing a simplistic resource model that is truly multi-client
  – Leveraging the power of a standard Linux infrastructure

• SPACE could be taken to the next level
  – Must understand how to get around security and stability restrictions